



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/752,573	12/28/2000	Nicholas G. Samra	2207/10612	9823	
7590 10/05/2005			' EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON			TREAT, WILLIAM M		
333 W. San Carlos, Street, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110-2711			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2183		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/752,573	SAMRA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	-	
William M. Treat	2183		

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William M. Treat	2183					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t	pecause				
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) \square They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally as						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See allached Notice of Noti-Cl	Impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief	will not be				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
-							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments seem to be an attempt to broaden the claim language which might entail the consideration of additional, applicable art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Keller inherently determines/assigns a set of rename resources needed for a trace cache line on a per-packet basis. Remember, he terminates adding instructions to a cache line/packet when adding any more instructions would exceed the maximum capacity of his map unit to assign a set of rename resources. If he were determining/assigning on the basis of less than a cache line/packet instead of a cache line/packet, this maximum would be irrelevant. If he were determining/assigning on the basis of something greater than a cache line/packet, the maximum would also be irrelevant.

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER